

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/647,006	647,006 08/22/2003		Betsie Marshall Davis Brooks Rakoczy	RD37/01	1444
49716	7590	12/05/2005		EXAMINER	
		CIEWICZ, ESQ.	SMITH, KIMBERLY S		
EDWARD P 640 DOUGL		EWICZ, P.A.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DUNEDIN,			3644		

DATE MAILED: 12/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary	10/647,006	RAKOCZY, BETSIE MARSHALL DAVIS BROOKS					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
•	Kimberly S. Smith	3644					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period wince the period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 No. This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E.	action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pro						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 2 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the description of the description of the correction and the correction of t	epted or b) objected to by the E Irawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa						

Application/Control Number: 10/647,006 Page 2

Art Unit: 3644

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11/15/05 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 2 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 5. Claim 2 recites the limitation "the vertical backing member" in lines 10 and 12. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. The vertical backing member has been construed to refer to the vertical support member for the basis of art related rejections

Application/Control Number: 10/647,006 Page 3

Art Unit: 3644

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Greene, US Patent 4,463,859 in view of Ziaylek, Jr. et al., US Patent 4,848,714 (Ziaylek).

Green discloses a support system stand comprising a support base (26) having a supplemental lower plate (28) and a vertical member (30), a bottle retaining brace including a horizontal resting plate (32) coupled to the vertical member of the support base, the bottle retaining brace having a horizontal support member (34) coupled to the vertical member of the support base at a position superior to the resting plate. However, Green does not disclose the bottle retaining brace including two spaced bolts for vertically adjustable coupling to the support base. Ziaylek teaches within the same field of endeavor of container holders the use of two spaced bolts (27) for vertically adjusting the bottle retaining brace to the support for the purpose of accommodating bottles of varying lengths (column 3, lines 59-60). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the two bolt, adjustable configuration as taught by Ziaylek with the device of Greene in order to provide for a retaining brace capable of supporting bottles of varying sizes.

Art Unit: 3644

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Kimberly S. Smith whose telephone number is 571-272-6909.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday 10:00-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Teri Luu can be reached on 571-272-7045. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kimberly S Smith Examiner

Art Unit 3644

kss

TERI PHAM LUU SUPERVISORY

PRIMARY EXAMINER